

# DRAFT

Note: These Minutes will remain DRAFT until approved at the next meeting of the Committee

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE

### MINUTES OF THE MEETING HELD ON TUESDAY, 14 JUNE 2022

**Councillors Present:** Claire Rowles (Chairman), Alan Macro (Vice-Chairman), Tony Linden and Jeff Brooks (present as substitute)

**Also Present:** Andy Sharp (Executive Director (People)), Councillor Jeff Beck, Councillor Graham Bridgman (Portfolio Holder: Deputy Leader and Executive Member for Health and Wellbeing), Andrew Sharp (Chief Officer, Healthwatch), Vicky Phoenix (Principal Policy Officer - Scrutiny), Gordon Oliver (Principal Policy Officer), Chris Lowrie (Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust), Michele Paley (Elysium Healthcare), Dr Kajal Patel (Berkshire West CCG), Jo Sherman (Elysium Healthcare), Nicola Costin-Davis (Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust) and Mark Foulkes (Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust)

**Apologies for inability to attend the meeting:** Councillor Andy Moore, Paul Coe and Belinda Seston (Berkshire West Clinical Commissioning Group)

#### PART I

#### 8 Apologies

#### 9 Minutes

The Minutes of the meeting held on 5 April 2022 were approved as a true and correct record and signed by the Chairman, subject to the following amendment:

It was noted that Councillor Graham Bridgman was in attendance at the meeting.

#### 10 Declarations of Interest

Councillors Claire Rowles and Tony Linden declared an interest in Agenda Item 5 by virtue of the fact that Councillor Rowles' partner has a tenant who works at Thornford Park Hospital and Councillor Linden works for a company deploying advertising at petrol stations for Elysium Healthcare, but reported that, as their interest was a personal or an other registrable interest, but not a disclosable pecuniary interest, they determined to remain to take part in the debate and vote on the matter.

#### 11 Petitions

There were no petitions received.

#### 12 Thornford Park Hospital

Jo Sherman, Hospital Director, Elysium Healthcare and Michele Paley, Elysium Healthcare, presented the report on Thornford Park Hospital (Agenda Item 5).

Ms Sherman gave an overview on Elysium Healthcare and Thornford Park Hospital. This included the Operational Board, background of Elysium Healthcare and geographical coverage. The Strategic Plan for 2022 was explained with a number of aims highlighted.

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

Councillor Tony Linden asked for clarification around how Thornford Park Hospital was regulated. It was confirmed that the regulatory requirements were not affected by Australian ownership and they were regulated by the Care Quality Commission (CQC).

Ms Sherman continued the presentation including an overview of the organisational structure of Thornford Park Hospital, how it had developed over recent years and the beds and wards that they had. They explained that had mostly male wards and two female wards. They clarified that PICU stood for Psychiatric Intensive Care Unit where high risk patients were for six to eight weeks. They were commissioned by NHS England and the Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG).

Jo Sherman then explained the action plan in response to the CQC report (slides 10 – 12). Ms Sherman advised an example of restrictive practices was stopping takeaways after 10pm. They ensured that they did not have blanket rules for everyone and that everything was individually risk assessed. There were risks and so they ensured that they evidenced their decision making process and that service users were part of that process. It was clarified that 'leave' was time outside of the hospital which was individually risk assessed. Data was submitted to Provider Collaborative and other stakeholders.

Ms Sherman explained the external audit and governance of Thornford Park Hospital. In addition to the CQC and internal governance, they were audited by NHS England, Provider Collaborative, NHS Wales, CCGs, Local Authorities and Advocacy. The Provider Collaborative was external NHS input involving specialised commissioning. This included independent sector and NHS groups. There was a lead Trust. These Provider Collaboratives helped to minimise out of area placements and also bring consistency of treatment, care and outcomes. They also came on site and reviewed their data. The Advocacy was a large presence in the hospital from two providers attending weekly to support patients with their voice.

Jo Sherman moved on to advise the Committee of areas of achievement which included enhanced access to leave into the community, grounds and home. Ongoing collaborative working with external stakeholders was another area of achievement highlighted. This had meant working with NHS England in developing services locally and the development of specialist services for autism and learning disability. Finally they explained that their management of Covid was very good and they had no deaths within the hospital. They also managed to increase levels of leave despite Covid. Finally Jo Sherman advised they were keen to reduce the length of stay for people at hospital.

Councillor Jeff Brooks commended the hospital for their management of Covid but noted concern in elements of the CQC report. Councillor Brooks highlighted the actions in the CQC report that must be taken and those that should be taken and requested assurance that action had been taken. He noted general care planning. Jo Sherman responded to confirm that there has been lots of coaching around care planning and ensuring the service user voice was within the care plans. They were monitored internally and by external stakeholders. The whole action plan was reviewed internally and externally by Provider Collaborative. These assurance processes ensured they provided evidence to show how they were moving along the action plan. They were also continuing the coaching and care planning which was ongoing. The same was for NEWS2 training which was in the induction and in update training for all staff.

Councillor Brooks requested further assurance that they would ensure emergency equipment audits were put in place and more detail around the action plan and steps being taken. Jo Sherman advised the emergency equipment issue was identified on one ward last year and was actioned on that day. Subsequently they have done regular audits and additional audits to prevent this from happening again. Michele Paley advised

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

that she had commissioned audits across Elysium Healthcare last year and in April 2022 to ensure medical equipment was in place.

Councillor Jeff Beck highlighted that there was an over reliance on agency staff and that permanent staff were reported to have said that made them feel undervalued. It took time to become confident with agency staff. He noted that agency staff were more expensive and it would be more economical to have permanent staff. Michele Paley agreed they would prefer to employ their own staff rather than have agency staff. However there were significant shortages of registered nurses across the country. They had increased their focus on international recruitment. This week their 400th international nurse had just arrived. They had a strong focus to get them through their training and register with the Nursing and Midwifery Council. They had a significant programme around preceptorship which was encouraging newly qualified nurses to join them. They had an academy with ring fenced training time to make them a more attractive option for people to be employed by them. It was a challenging environment to retain staff. They had recruitment campaigns, a dedicated international nurse academy and international nurses arriving every three to four weeks. Thornford Park operated an assistant practitioner programme and a nurses' associate programme. These were to recruit to different levels and disciplines to support the nursing team. Councillor Beck asked whether the concern about insufficient female staff for washing and dressing had been addressed. Jo Sherman advised that when allocating staff to wards they looked at skill mix and gender mix. They had two female wards and the workforce within those wards was predominantly female.

Councillor Linden referred to the CQC report for his questions. Firstly he asked about the concern of patients of Hermitage and Bucklebury Wards who did not feel safe due to patients assaulting other patients. Jo Sherman responded that at the time there were difficult relationships between some patients on those wards. That had been addressed and they had worked closely with commissioners to ensure patients were moved on to alternative placements. Bucklebury Ward was an acute admission medium secure ward and they had a number of patients who transferred from prison. They had done a lot of work around how they could improve the experience for individuals. Concerns were not currently being reported in community patient forums.

Councillor Linden asked about regular supervision of staff and recordings of that supervision. Jo Sherman advised that was particularly for PICU wards during the pandemic. They were struggling with staffing and had high levels of sickness due to Covid. They had a reduction in their compliance with supervision. This was shared with the CQC and with all other external stakeholders. That supervision compliance continued to be monitored. They contractually had to submit monthly supervision data and it had improved. Michele Paley advised they had undertaken a review of their supervision policy and had much clearer algorithms on how they measured and recorded it. This meant they had clearer data ensuring all staff were included.

Councillor Linden asked about the management of patient risk on Bucklebury Ward. Jo Sherman advised that had been addressed through their coaching and care planning. That was then reviewed through their ward rounds by the multidisciplinary team.

Councillor Linden asked for confirmation that the issue with observing patients had been addressed. Jo Sherman advised that the blindspot identified in the inspection had been addressed through additional mirrors. Councillor Linden asked for confirmation that the requirement notices had all been addressed. It was confirmed that they had been.

Councillor Alan Macro asked how Thornford Park Hospital compared with the other hospitals in the group when it came to CQC ratings. Michele Paley advised that Thornford Park Hospital was not an outlier. They had varying types of services and they

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

tended to look not just at the overall rating but also dug down in terms of the service type and the wards.

Councillor Macro raised concern that the blind spot was not picked up internally and asked if there were internal processes to prevent this. Jo Sherman advised they had an annual ligature audit that took place. This was updated by Michele Paley last year. Michele Paley confirmed this was a learning point that had led to a review of the ligature risk management policy and procedures, and brought in a revised ligature audit tool as a result. They had not been strong enough in including blind spots in their audits previously. Michele Paley advised the CQC guidance on ligatures had been withdrawn and that work to review national guidance / standards on how ligature audits were reviewed had been delayed. This was a co-produced piece of work that would be launched on 25th June 2022. There would be a subsequent review internally following that to meet national guidance. Councillor Macro asked if audits would occur after refurbishments also. Michele Paley confirmed that any changes to configuration (decoration, moving things around, change in a service user profile) would trigger a repeat of the ligature audit.

Councillor Claire Rowles asked if the CQC report came as a shock or if it was anticipated. Jo Sherman advised that for some parts of the report they were not surprised, but other areas they did challenge. In particular the facilitation of leave and so they submitted further evidence to the CQC around that.

### 13 **Cancer Treatment**

Chris Lowrie, Directorate Manager Berkshire Cancer Centre, Nicola Costin-Davis, Royal Berkshire NHS Foundation Trust (RBFT) and Mark Foulkes, Macmillan Cancer Lead and nurse consultant RBFT, presented the report on Cancer Performance at RBFT (Agenda Item 6).

Mark Foulkes presented the report on cancer services at Royal Berkshire Hospital and the surrounding area. He started with an overview of cancer services at RBFT including the population served and the main sites for treatment. He gave a summary of the Cancer Access Standards including nine key targets of diagnosis and treatment, and the national ambitions. Mr Foulkes highlighted that to meet these targets they needed more patients referred in for assessment. Personalised care was a key ambition at RBFT. He noted the performance management in cancer care and that it would continue into the future. Mr Foulkes then gave an overview of the cancer pathway noting the challenges in diagnostics which would be detailed later in the presentation.

Andrew Sharp, West Berkshire Healthwatch, requested to ask a question. The Committee resolved to suspend standing orders to allow Andrew Sharp to speak and this remained for the rest of the committee meeting.

Andrew Sharp asked why diagnosis in the UK was a challenge compared to Europe. He asked if the 75% target was realistic. Mark Foulkes agreed that delayed diagnosis of cancer might in part be due to stoicism and groups of patients who tended to present later with cancer. This could be men and people who did not speak English as a first language. Those admitted into hospital tended to be older people and people who were more isolated. This was particularly the case during Covid as anecdotal evidence supported that people who were isolated tended to present later with symptoms. Dr Kajal Patel (Cancer Clinical Lead, Clinical Commissioning Group, CCG) added that it was quite complex. There were different factors leading to late presentation. These included deprivation, language barriers and cultural barriers. Screening uptake in different groups and awareness of red flags were also important but complicated. Any patient presenting with a red flag symptom was seen quickly but there were some cancers that had vague

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

symptoms to start off with which were hard to pinpoint. These could go on for a long time before the cancer manifested. This led to a vague symptom pathway which GPs could refer patients on to. This was a suspected cancer of unknown pathway. There was work to improve awareness and increase screening. There were different layers and so many approaches were needed to have faster diagnoses. For every 100 people referred by GPs for a suspected cancer, on average less than seven had a cancer. Of course they wanted to refer all but that would subject more people to CT scans, high dose radiation and going through the pathway. It was those 7% that needed treatment on time.

Andrew Sharp highlighted that the communications across Berkshire West in terms of reaching out to the groups, screening and prostate cancer were impressive. He noted public health could be involved more in the awareness side and it being acceptable to go to the GPs.

Councillor Tony Linden noted Macmillan Cancer's report highlighting longer waits and later presentations. He asked how much change there had been locally rather than nationally. Were the national concerns coming in locally? He asked why the performance levels had dropped significantly.

Councillor Jeff Brooks highlighted the 62 day wait and asked if there was any correlation with that and the outcomes, and further whether that would vary depending on the cancer type. He also asked why the target was 62 days and whether it was about outcomes or capacity. Mark Foulkes advised that the 62 day target was a national target set many years ago to bring the whole length of people waiting down by setting a line in the sand. He confirmed the faster you diagnosed patients the more likely they were to survive. In an ideal world they would like to reduce that 62 day target even further. However with the current situation, particularly in diagnostics, that would be difficult. He also highlighted that the targets drove change and investment, and many more issues would be touched on later in the presentation. Councillor Brooks asked whether there should be variation in the targets depending on the cancer type. Mark Foulkes responded that it would be very difficult to manage, however there were some other targets for different cancer types. If it happened across the board that would miss some cancers that could be slow or fast growing and so the general cancer waiting times were the best fit. Chris Lowrie highlighted that even though there were general targets there was still clinical prioritisation that occurred. Patients were prioritised on clinical priority and some patients that could wait sometimes did if they needed to get more urgent patients in.

Chris Lowrie continued the presentation and explained the graph in the report on two week wait referrals for patients referred with red flag symptoms. He highlighted the significant jump in average referrals per week after September 2021. He noted the peaks in referrals more recently and how busy they were. The reduced attendances in wave one of the pandemic, when screening programmes were temporarily suspended, increased health anxiety and GP access made it a complicated picture to understand the causal factors. They were expecting it to continue to grow but they did not know at what rate. There had been a 53% increase in breast cancer referrals and other cancers such as gynaecology, head and neck were up a third. Prostate cancer cases were particularly difficult to get to the GP and so they had done partnership working to increase referrals from men being screened. In terms of the 62 day access standard, during Covid they were oscillating around the access standard level of 80% but there was then rapid deterioration more recently. This was due to normal issues, increase in demand and MRI capacity. In March there was an uptick and the waits had improved. Action was taken quickly. However the scanning facilities took more time to address and a new provider started last weekend. This would take a few weeks to recover.

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

Councillor Rowles highlighted the concern around men stepping forward and whether there was something that could be done locally. Mark Foulkes agreed that publicity could target specific groups. Particularly older men and men from Afro-Caribbean backgrounds. They could also target demographically through GPs. Chris Lowrie explained there was a joint project with the CCG to create cancer champions in communities. For example targeting Nepali and Urdu speaking communities. To reach hard to reach groups would make a difference to cancer sites in the future.

Councillor Alan Macro noted the recent uptick in this standard and asked how confident RBFT were in returning to the 85% target. Chris Lowrie highlighted the NHS England picture. In particular workforce concerns nationally. They had innovative roles such as a nurse consultant specialising in breast cancer treatment. They were taking this incredibly seriously and had an executive led steering group planned to go through specific issues, barriers, perceived barriers and what the future holds. This would help to build the strategy going forward. Workforce would continue to be a dominant factor at play.

Chris Lowrie continued the presentation to explain the 62 day breach trend. Diagnostics dominated the reason for breaches. This was not simple to resolve and it was difficult to manage staff and patient expectations. There had also been an uptick in patient choice since Covid. This graph informed actions going forward. The Thames Valley position was then explained showing RBFT along with other sites in the Thames Valley. He noted how complex the pathway was. Chris Lowrie then summarised actions taken on slide nine in the report. He highlighted that holistic elements needed to support patients with cancer.

Councillor Linden asked how these figures looked locally in West Berkshire now and in the next 12 months. Chris Lowrie confirmed the data was local and that generally the profiles looked similar across the area. The West Berkshire figures and Reading figures were no worse than the national picture and in many places were significantly better. How that translated into the experience of people was also important and their data showed that they had maintained that patient experience against the odds. Even though there was deterioration around their diagnostics, it was not out of line with the national picture. Councillor Linden asked how the actions taken would impact on the figures. Chris Lowrie said that there were lots of moving pieces. The national strategy around community diagnostic hubs and capacity would be a pivotal change. The histopathology work, which was largely workforce related, would be coming in and both would make a marked difference in performance. The biggest single thing was around workforce and training a workforce for tomorrow. Nicola Costin-Davis confirmed that many issues were much wider than their strategy and about the pipeline of workforce.

Councillor Macro raised concern about blood tests being rejected by pathology and what was being done to address those kind of issues. Chris Lowrie advised they had a significant workforce in pathology but that he was not aware this was a common problem with cancer pathways.

Dr Kajal Patel was then invited to present on the Wider Cancer Pathways of Berkshire West CCG. Dr Patel gave an overview of her role as a GP and Cancer Lead in Berkshire West. She worked with partners in improving cancer awareness and referrals of cancer to improve the process for patients. Dr Patel highlighted the Cancer Steering Group bringing together people from RBFT, GPs, Public Health, Macmillan Cancer charity executives, Cancer Research UK facilitators and Cancer Alliance. These meetings and the relationships brought about a lot of progress and changes could be made quickly.

Dr Patel gave an overview of some of the work in 2021-22. These were priorities from the local Cancer Framework and the NHS Long Term Plan. During the recovery from Covid they had local campaigns, webinars and patient participation group talks to encourage people to see their GP when they had red flag symptoms. Reassurances were given to

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

support people concerned about Covid in hospitals. Secondly the Cancer Champions programme was highlighted. This was grass roots people talking to the community. There were 40 volunteers trained to share experiences, leaflets, stalls etc to help break cultural barriers. Thirdly the Cancer Collaborative Project was to make test leaflets and results more applicable to patients with different languages. The Quality Improvement Scheme was to look at diagnosis of cancer and getting patients diagnosed with cancer earlier. Practices were asked to increase screening for breast, bowel and cervical cancer and to focus on traditionally low uptake groups for attending health care appointments, such as learning disability groups and mental health patients. Practices were also asked to do an audit on the route analysis to see what led to the patient's journey of cancer. This was to gather local clinical learning to be shared and help the practice to be responsive to the local population. The Cancer Care Review Scheme was to ensure cancer patients received primary care also from GPs and to link with the voluntary sector. The Personalised Care was through Holistic Needs Assessments and End of Treatment Summaries. They had held wellbeing events through the cancer rehab service. They also had Prostrate Cancer awareness campaigns in the community to increase urgent cancer referrals.

They had done a lot of GP support and education. Some through Covid on pathway changes, new tests, the local vague symptom pathway and support packs. Dr Patel highlighted the Cancer Steering Group explained earlier which brought together key stakeholders monthly to discuss and agree on cancer priorities for local patients. Dr Patel then gave an overview of the key priorities for 2022 and 2023 given in the report including cancer champions, implementing Faster Diagnosis pathways, personalised care nurse facilitators and personalised care.

Councillor Macro highlighted concern about impact of Covid on reducing regular reviews by GPs, for example diabetic reviews, and whether this lack of regular contact with GPs would store up problems for the future. Dr Patel advised that in their practice they called people for reviews as normal despite increased pressure on access. Health anxiety and mental health increases had impacted the system and so there was not an infinite amount of appointments. All targets were strived towards. The difficulty in recruiting meant they were using more team members to help with some of these reviews. For example pharmacists doing medication reviews in place of a GP. There was a GP shortage. Digital access had also increased pressure on GP time which was outside of the appointment time. This meant that points of contact with GPs had doubled or tripled which increased administrative workload on top of clinic times. Councillor Macro asked if there would be an impact on using other members of staff to undertake reviews or were they less likely to pick up on cancer. Dr Patel advised that it depended on what the appointment was for. Red flag symptoms would always go to the GP. Patients were only booked in to see patients for something that was in that clinician's scope of practice.

Councillor Brooks referred to the slides and noted that the phrasing included some jargon and raised concern about digital access increasing pressure on GPs. Councillor Brooks asked for examples around what the cancer champions did. Dr Patel explained some of the terms used in the presentation. Dr Patel agreed there were difficulties with digital access and there was a fine balance to strike. Dr Patel gave an example of a cancer champion talking at a community centre in Reading about cervical screening. She invited women, had leaflets in different languages and gave an introduction and answered basic questions. Another example was a cancer champion doing a talk in a mosque on bowel screening. This was not someone medical, but their own peer giving advice and information in a place of non-confrontation. A champion attended Reading Festival to give advice on screening. One cancer champion went on the Nepalese Ghurkha radio

## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

every Friday morning talking about different topics. They were trained to identify red flags in order to communicate it to different groups.

Councillor Linden noted that there was potentially a barrier with receptionists who were not medically trained. He also noted the shortage of pharmacists nationally. Dr Patel explained that pharmacists specialised and primary care pharmacy was a new phenomenon. This reflected that primary care was changing where they were doing more specialised care than they had done before. There were new ways of working to meet demand and everyone worked at the top of their licence.

Councillor Graham Bridgman informed the Committee that there was a West Berkshire Pharmaceutical Needs Assessment in draft for consultation.

### 14 **Berkshire West Clinical Commissioning Group Update**

There was no Berkshire West Clinical Commissioning Group update given.

### 15 **Healthwatch Update**

Andrew Sharp, Chief Officer Healthwatch West Berkshire, was invited to report on current activities and feedback from local residents.

Andrew Sharp advised that they were currently surveying asylum seekers in hotels in West Berkshire. This was about their health needs and interactions. Their mental health requirements were possibly not being met. This was a challenge across the whole system but noted the incidents in Reading and Glasgow were mental health related. They were working with Reading refugees. Mr Sharp had written to all Chief Executives across Berkshire West highlighting this concern. There were also concerns around Rwanda for refugees. Andrew Sharp commended Thatcham Medical Centre and Theale Medical Centre.

Mr Sharp advised the Committee that the CCG had spoken to Healthwatch to create a maternity forum for West Berkshire that was not based around a Trust.

Thinking Together 6, the mental health service user, public and professional event, was planned for October 2022. It would be based around children and young adults' mental health.

Mr Sharp mentioned Continuing Health Care and the need for an update from the CCG.

Finally Mr Sharp raised the Huntercombe House CQC report and it requiring scrutiny.

### 16 **West Berkshire Council Health Scrutiny Committee Protocol**

The Chairman explained that the Partners Protocol had been amended in response to questions raised at the Health Scrutiny Committee on 5 April 2022 and that this was not an agreement but a way of working that West Berkshire Council wished to adopt.

Councillor Brooks noted the partnership working in the protocol and highlighted that scrutiny, challenging questions and making recommendations were also part of the scrutiny committee. Councillor Rowles advised of their role as a critical friend and allowing more time in future meetings for questions.

#### **RESOLVED that:**

- 1. The final protocol and the process for dealing with proposed substantial developments of variations to health services be endorsed.**
- 2. The protocol be approved.**



## HEALTH SCRUTINY COMMITTEE - 14 JUNE 2022 - MINUTES

### 17 Appointment of Task Group

The Chairman asked Members to consider the proposed Terms of Reference for the Healthcare Provisions in New Developments Task Group. To agree membership, the Chairman and a timescale.

The Terms of Reference were agreed. The membership and timescales would be decided after the meeting.

### 18 Task and Finish Group Update

Councillor Alan Macro advised the Committee that the Continuing Health Care task group had met and agreed the work programme. The task group would report back to the Health Scrutiny Committee on the 13 December 2022.

### 19 Health Scrutiny Committee Work Programme

The Chairman invited Members to make suggestions on items to add to the Work Programme. The Chairman noted that all suggestions would go through the prioritisation process.

Councillor Tony Linden noted pharmacists as a possible item. The Chairman noted Huntercombe House. Councillor Alan Macro suggested blood tests and phlebotomy at West Berkshire Community Hospital.

The Chairman highlighted the form on the website for members of the public to nominate topics for the Health Scrutiny Committee to consider.

*(The meeting commenced at 1.33 pm and closed at 4.11 pm)*

**CHAIRMAN** .....

**Date of Signature** .....